

Drafting Group comments on 1st Draft Key Principles				
Name	Organisation	Section/ Page	Comment	CIRIA response
Paul Dorfman	University of Warwick	General	In response,it looks OK. Just thought - there's a risk that the important contextual explanation could be lost, and the rather anodyne headlines remain. In this context, I think it's important that we ensure that the Principles are presented holistically in the context they were framed.	The intention is to place these draft principles on the website along with the background information provided by the drafting group members and the powerpoint record of the drafting group meeting
Frank Barnaby	Oxford Research Group	General	I have no significant comments on the draft principles. They look comprehensive to me.	Good
Jill Sutcliffe	NuLeAF	2.0/ Page 2	Suggested addition of the following text "Principle: a fundamental truth or law, or an idea that forms the basis of reasoning or action which can stem from physical, economic or social parameters." and diagramatic representation of different types of principle.	The intention is to place these draft principles on the website along with the background information provided by the drafting group members (i.e. including this text) and the powerpoint record of the drafting group meeting
Jill Sutcliffe	NuLeAF	2.0/ Page 2	insert text to read "non-overlapping and designed to be applied together"	I haven't made this amendment because of the duplication that would occur with the sentence that precedes the bullets i.e. 'intended to be' and 'designed to be'.
Jill Sutcliffe	NuLeAF	Key Principle 2/ Page 3	Suggested addition of text to the descriptive text to read "The 'environment' in this context includes both the natural environment (e.g. water bodies, the atmosphere, land, natural ecosystems and wildlife species) and the built environment (e.g. houses).	I would tend to define 'natural ecosystems' as including plants, animals and micro organisms together with non living parts of the environment. However, we need to use terminology that everyone is clear on, so happy to discuss alternatives at the next stage

Jill Sutcliffe	NuLeAF	Key Principle 3/ Page 3	Suggestion to use the word 'engagement' rather than 'involvement'	There is always quite a lot of discussion on whether to use engagement or involvement. In recent conversations at the SAFEGROUNDS PSG and previously with SD:SPUR members involved in the forward programme meeting the decision fell on the side of 'involvement'. This is defined later in the text as ranging from information giving to co-decision making
Jill Sutcliffe	NuLeAF	Key Principle 3/ Page 3	Suggested amendments/ additions to the descriptive text to read: "Stakeholders are can include all the people with an interest in the situation (sometimes specific skills and/or interests are needed and should be co-opted).	I've added some additional text to address the need sometimes for specific skills and /or interests
Jill Sutcliffe	NuLeAF	Key Principle 3/ Page 3	Suggested addition to descriptive text to read: "The term 'stakeholder involvement' spans a range of techniques from information giving through to co-decision making. Identifying who is to be involved and the extent of their involvement....."	Not convinced this is necessary
Jill Sutcliffe	NuLeAF	Key Principle 3/ Page 3	Query on text "..... avoid potential delays later in the process caused by the introduction of new and potentially conflicting ideas?" - Surely this can happen at any time in a process?	I've re-worded this section to make it clearer
Jill Sutcliffe	NuLeAF	Key Principle 4/ Page 3	Suggested addition to descriptive text to read: "'Natural resources' in this context would include air , rock, timber, oil, soil, land etc."	Done
Jill Sutcliffe	NuLeAF	Key Principle 5/ Page 4	Suggested addition to descriptive text to read "Site owners and operators should keep comprehensive records of their inventory of decommissioning wastes and items, the decision-making processes used to determine preferred options for the management of these wastes and items, and the results of the implementation and validation of these options thus providing a clear audit trail for current and future generations. "	Done

John Potter/ Lisa Hughes	British Nuclear Group	Key Principle 1/ Page 2	"as far as is practicable" needs representing in the description of Key Principle 1	I'm not convinced this is required. The principle says 'strive to', which infers making efforts within limitations rather than 'must'. I think this should be discussed further at the next stage.
John Potter/ Lisa Hughes	British Nuclear Group	Key Principle 3/ Page 3	With reference to the paragraph beginning "Efforts to involve stakeholders should be taken early...." - need to understand what level of detail stakeholders are involved at i.e. high level principles or options?	I've added some additional text which I hope clarifies this point.
John Potter/ Lisa Hughes	British Nuclear Group	General	No mention of other aspects of sustainability e.g. cost. We have a duty to deliver best value for money to the tax payer. An option can fulfill all principles but not be sustainable on cost grounds	I've added some text to the background section rather than trying to write a principle on this. I think this should be discussed further at the next stage.